

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,672	06/18/2001	Takeshi Kuribayashi	2001_0771	7635
	7590 06/06/2002 TH, LIND & PONACI	K. L.L.P.	EXAM	INER
2033 K STRE SUITE 800		,	CUNEO, K	AMAND
	ON, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No. Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	9/881472 Examiner Group Art Unit	
•	Cinco 2827	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence addres	is
eriod for Reply	_	
F THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING	
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, the period for reply specified above a such period shall by default.	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) Moreover reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. atute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on3/15	702	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL.		•_
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,</li> </ul>	pt for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	เก
Disposition of Claims	n	:
Claim(s) 24-47	is/are pending in the applicat is/are withdrawn from consid	ion.
Of the above claim(s) 31-41	is/are withdrawn from consid	eration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
√ Claim(s) 2(, -3(	is/are rejected.	
Claim(s) 26 -3 €	is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or e	election
Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or e requirement.	election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	are subject to restriction or e requirement. wing Review, PTO-948.	election
<ul> <li>□ Claim(s)</li> <li>Application Papers</li> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson of The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>	are subject to restriction or e requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is □ approved □ disapproved.	lection
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are or	are subject to restriction or e requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is □ approved □ disapproved.	election
<ul> <li>□ Claim(s)</li> <li>Application Papers</li> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>	are subject to restriction or e requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is □ approved □ disapproved.  sjected to by the Examiner.	lection
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or e requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is □ approved □ disapproved.  sjected to by the Examiner.	lection
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	are subject to restriction or e requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is □ approved □ disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.	election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorical contents of the CERTIFIED copies. □ Processived	are subject to restriction or e requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been	lection
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	are subject to restriction or e requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been	lection
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorical Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ Preceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	are subject to restriction or e requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  amber) 9 / 319 7 79  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are o □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorical in the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Normal in the CERTIFIED copies) □ received in this national stage application from the	are subject to restriction or e requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  amber) 9 / 319 7 79  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected t	are subject to restriction or e requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  amber) 1 / 3(9779  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  er No(s)	
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or e requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  pjected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  amber) 9 / 3(9 7 7 9  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	n, PTO-15

2

Serial Number: 09/881672

Art Unit: 2827

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 26-36 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no burden to the examiner because the claims were considered in the parent application. This is not found persuasive because the claims in the present application are not identical in scope to the claims in the parent application. As a proper examination of the application relies on searching the claims (not just the disclosed invention), a burden exists.

Upon indication of allowable subject matter, examiner will rejoin and allow all claims containing the allowable combination.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Treatment of Claims Based on Prior Art

2. 35 USC 102 includes the following sections which state:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 26-32, 34, 36 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Hashimoto (US 6201193).

The marks are (25) as shown in the cover figure. The electrical connecting portions are lands (8).

Serial Number: 09/881672

Art Unit: 2827

On claim 32: The marks are "concerned" with a state in which the portions are formed, the state

being the particular configuration.

On claim 34: The time of formation of the marks is a process limitation that does not affect the

resulting structure in any way. As such, the product of claim 34 is the same as that of Hashimoto. See

MPEP 2113.

4. Claims 26, 33-36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hertz et al. (US

5381307, hereafter Hertz).

Hertz teaches marks (504) on the corners. The connecting portions are lands that receive solder

balls. Therefore, they can be construed as lands or as solder balls.

Related Prior Art

5. The following references are considered pertinent to the present application.

Klein (6084781) discloses marks (124) in figure 5.

Kubin (5912438) discloses marks (36) in figure 4.

3

4

Serial Number: 09/881672

Art Unit: 2827

# Closing

6. Any inquiries related to the examination of this application should be directed to Ex. K. Cuneo at (703) 308-1233 or her supervisor SPE David L. Talbott at (703) 305-9883. Inquiries of a general nature should be directed to the receptionist of Group 2800 at (703) 308-0956. The fax numbers for Group 2800 are (703) 308-7722 and 7724.

K. Cuneo

Primary Examiner June 3, 2002